(2)

SINGLE BENCH

CF-1002

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

WRIT PETITION (S) NO. ~~520~~ 521/07 OF 2006 7

PETITIONER : Ramlal Sahu Son of Shri Baniyaram Sahu, aged about 40 years, Presently posted at Govt. Primary School Udela, Block Basna, District Mahasamund (C.G.).

P.R. No. 1521/07
Presented by Shri S.P. Sahu
dated 31/1/07

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Department of School Education, D. K. S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

RECEIVED COPY
31/1/07
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

2. The District Education Officer, Mahasamund, District Mahasamund (C.G.).

3. The Block Education Officer, Basna, District Mahasamund (C.G.).

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA, 1950 FOR ISSUANCE OF WRIT IN THE NATURE OF CERTIORARI, MANDAMUS ETC. AND FOR APPROPRIATE ORDER/ORDERS, DIRECTION/DIRECTIONS.

(62)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

SINGLE BENCH : HON'BLE MR. SATISH K. AGNIHOTRI, J.

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 507 OF 2007

Petitioner : Premlal Chauhan

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 510 OF 2007

Petitioner : Dilchand Chauhan

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 519 OF 2007

Petitioner : Surendra Kumar Bishal

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 521 OF 2007

Petitioner : Ramlal Sahu

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 522 OF 2007

Petitioner : Topsingh Patel

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

And

WRIT PETITION (s) NO. 523 OF 2007

Petitioner : Bhanupratap Sidar

versus

Respondents : State of Chhattisgarh and others

Present : Mr. P.P. Sahu, counsel for the petitioners.
Mr. Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State/ respondents.

/

23

ORDER

(Passed on this 25th day of January, 2007)

1. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioners as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petitions are squarely covered by the decision of this Court in the case of ***Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj*** **vs.** ***Municipal Corporation, Durg and another***[1].

2. For the aforementioned reasons, these writ petitions are disposed of in terms of the decision of this Court in the matter of *Yashwant Kumar Bharadwaj* (Supra), subject to verification of the fact as to whether the petitioners have acquired B.T.I. Certificates at their own expenses as per the circulars dated 24-12-1998 and 1-3-1999 and further whether they have been paid two advance increments or not. No order as to costs.

3. A copy of this order be placed on record in connected writ petitions.

Sd/-
Satish K. Agnihotri
Judge

---

1 2006 (II) MPJR-CG 96

u